

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,506	001,506 10/23/2001		Manoel Tenorio	020431.0934	3207
5073	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO	· <del>-</del> - · -	.P. <sub>.</sub>	LU, KU	LU, KUEN S	
2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2167		
				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entensions of time may be available used the provided will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum elablutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Pallute to reply within the act or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum elablutory provided will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Pallute to reply within the act or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum elablutory provided by interest the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any stateory plant time speciments.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 2-11.13-19 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 2-11.13-19 and 21-42 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 2-11.13-19 and 21-42 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 3-13-14 is/are allowed.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) belied to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) belied in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
New Status		10/001,506	TENORIO, MANOEL					
— The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALINING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherland a form may be available under the provided month of 37 FR1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the control of the property of the provided will apply and will expire 31 K(5) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Plants to report with the set or cented period for report, with the set or cented period for report, will be provided by the provided by	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extractions of terror may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a regist be timely filled.  - Extractions of terror may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a regist be timely filled.  - Extractions of terror may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a regist be timely filled on the provision of the pr			_ · · ·					
WHICHEVER Is LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 FR 1.138(i), in ne event, howers, may a reply be timely filed after 3X (6) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to repry which the sat of venteded period for reply will, by station, cause the supplication to become ARMADORDO 33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office leter than these months after the mailing date of this communication, wen if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient bandglatement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) ■ This action is FINAL.  2b) ■ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 2-11,13-19 and 21-42 (s/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2005.  2a	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  2-11,13-19 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * O)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  2-11,13-19 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * O)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ly 2005.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)								
Al) Claim(s) 2-11.13-19 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  10 Notice of Informal Paper Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4) Claim(s) 2-11,13-19 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448) or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11,13-19 and 21-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6)  Claim(s) 2-11,13-19 and 21-42 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a)  All  b)  Some * c)  None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Online of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11,13-19 and 21-42</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers	·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	<del></del>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	.*						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			,					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						

Application/Control Number: 10/001,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendments

1. This Action is in response to Applicant's amendments made to the claims, filed on July 28, 2005. Noted is the amendments made to claims 2-11, 13-19 and 21-42, and cancellation of claims 1, 12 and 20.

- 2. The amendments made to the claims 2-11, 13-19 and 21-42, has been considered and addressed in the Office Action for non-Final Rejection, as shown next. The claims 2-11, 13-19 and 21-40 are pending.
- **3.** As for the Applicant' REMARKS, filed on July 28, 2005, 2005, has been fully considered by the Examiner. For the Examiner's response, please see discussion in the section *Response to Arguments*, following the Office Action for non-Final Rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 28 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are inoperative and therefore lack utility by without specifying what methods or systems to implement. The Examiner would suggest claims starting with "A System" and "A method" be amended to "A computer-implemented system" and "A computer-implemented method", respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**6.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

7. Claims 2-4, 6, 8-11, 13-15, 17, 19, 21-23, 25, 27-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hess et al. (U.S. Patent 6,732,161, hereafter "Hess"), in view of Keller et al. (U.S. Publication 2003/0050958, hereafter "Keller").

As per claims 30, 35, 42 and 28, Hess teaches the following:

"An electronic commerce system for third party document reuse of transaction documents" (See Figs. 6B, 8 and col. 2, lines 5-15 wherein Hess' eBay® on-line system for person to person trading where user's item images are harvested, stored and made available for users for a defined duration is equivalent to the Applicant's An electronic commerce system for third party document reuse of transaction documents); "one or more document repositories storing a plurality of transaction documents" (See Fig. 8 and col. 8, line 62 – col. 8, line 18 wherein Hess' user items for sale are retrieved from repository and populated into web pages for serving as a trading document is equivalent to the Applicant's one or more document repositories storing a plurality of transaction documents); and at least portions of each transaction document capable of being used to facilitate a transaction with one or more of the parties to the transaction document" (See Fig. 9A

and col. 9, lines 49-65 wherein Hess' a subset of the items listed are available for sale is equivalent to the Applicant's each of the transaction documents is at least portions of each transaction document capable of being used to facilitate a transaction with one or more of the parties to the transaction document).

Hess does not explicitly teach the transaction documents "each associated with a past transaction of a party to the transaction document", although Hess teaches completed transaction at Fig. 9A and col. 9, line 49 – col. 10, line 6 where "Completed" category of on-line trade for current auction of folk art is available.

However, Keller teaches the transaction documents "each associated with a past transaction of a party to the transaction document" (See Fig. 6 and Page 4, [0042] wherein Keller's transaction log records each transaction conducted, including ordered and acknowledged items is equivalent to the Applicant's the transaction documents each associated with a past transaction of a party to the transaction document).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine Keller's teaching with Hess reference because both references are devoted to e-commerce and transaction document processing and, the combined teaching of the two references would have provided a mechanism for users of Hess' system to enhance on-line trading by quicker previewing items via an on-line facility which provides user of a supplier information about the status of the transactions involving the products of the supplier.

The combined teaching of the Keller and Hess references further teaches the following:

"a global content directory including a plurality of classes organized in a hierarchy, each class categorizing the transaction documents and associated with one or more attributes of the transaction documents categorized in the class at least one of the classes having one or more associated pointers that identify one or more document repositories" (See Hess: Figs. 9A-9B and col. 9, line 49 – col. 10, line 6 wherein Hess' transaction documents are view through the hierarchy of current mode, top: antiques: folk art, current auctions, new today and specific item is equivalent to the Applicant's a global content directory including a plurality of classes organized in a hierarchy, each class categorizing the transaction documents and associated with one or more attributes of the transaction documents categorized in the class at least one of the classes, and Keller: Figs. 5-6 and Page 4, [0046]-[0047] wherein Keller's order information in the transaction log is associated with a specific repository is equivalent to the Applicant's the transaction is associated with pointers that identify one or more document repositories);

"an intelligence module operable to, in response to selection of a transaction document by a party who was not a party to the past transaction associated with the transaction document, create a generic document capable of being used to facilitate a future transaction with at least one of the parties from the selected transaction document stored in the one or more document repositories encrypt at least a portion of the selected information in the selected transaction document thereby making it inaccessible in the generic document" (See Hess: Figs. 9A-9B and col. 9, line 49 – col. 10. line 6 wherein Hess' the items described in the transaction document is presented to

perspective buyer to complete the transaction moving item from other status to completed and the buyer may not be the owner of the items being sold or completed is equivalent to the Applicant's an intelligence module operable to, in response to selection of a transaction document by a party who was not a party to the past transaction associated with the transaction document, create a generic document capable of being used to facilitate a future transaction with at least one of the parties from the selected transaction document stored in the one or more document repositories, and Keller: Page 2, [0015] wherein Keller's the transaction server partitions and utilizes security techniques to protect data from unauthorized access is equivalent to Applicant's the intelligence module is operable to make the selected information in the transaction document inaccessible in the generic document by encrypting at least a portion of the selected information); and

"a search interface operable to communicate a search query for transaction documents to one or more document repositories identified by one or more pointers associated with one or more selected classes" (See Figs. 5-6 and Page 4, [0046]-[0047] interfaces are available to interpret user's search through SQL query to retrieve data of categories such as ordered or acknowledged from specific database is equivalent to the Applicant's a search interface operable to communicate a search query for transaction documents to one or more document repositories identified by one or more pointers associated with one or more selected classes).

As per claims 2, 13, 21 and 36, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "the transaction documents comprise third party documents" (See Hess: Fig. 8 and col. 8, line 62 – col. 8, line 18 wherein Hess' user's image files for sale items are retrieved from repository and populated into web pages for serving as a trading document is equivalent to the Applicant's the transaction documents comprise third party documents).

As per claims 3, 15 and 23, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the classes comprise a plurality of document classes" (See Keller: Figs. 5-6 and Page 4, [0046]-[0047] transaction document categories includes ordered or acknowledged is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the classes comprise a plurality of document classes).

As per claims 4, 14, 22 and 37, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the classes comprise a plurality of product classes" (See Keller: Figs. 5-6 and Page 4, [0046]-[0047] transaction document categories includes ordered or acknowledged is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the classes comprise a plurality of product classes).

As per claims 6, 31 and 38, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the search interface is further operable to allow a user to navigate through the classes to search for a particular transaction document" (See

Hess: Fig. 9A-9B and col. 9, line 49 – col. 10, line 6 wherein Hess' user navigates the transaction document by clicking through mode, top category, current actions and merchandize is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the search interface is further operable to allow a user to navigate through the classes to search for a particular transaction document).

As per claims 10, 32 and 39, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the documents comprise one or more standard documents stored in a shared document repository" (See Keller: Figs. 12-13 and 15-16 wherein Keller's user authorization forms and generic merchandize description forms are standard documents is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the documents comprise one or more standard documents stored in a shared document repository).

As per claims 11, 33 and 40, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the documents comprise one or more unique documents stored in one or more seller databases" (See Keller: transaction documents represented by the identifications ORD322 and ACK456 are specific to an ordered and acknowledged transaction, respectively is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the documents comprise one or more unique documents stored in one or more seller databases").

As per claims 29, 34 and 41, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the intelligence module is operable to make the selected information in the selected transaction document inaccessible in the generic document by deleting at least a portion of the selected information" (See Keller: Page 2, [0015] wherein Keller's the transaction server partitions and utilizes security techniques to protect data from unauthorized access is equivalent to Applicant's wherein the intelligence module is operable to make the selected information in the selected transaction document inaccessible in the generic document by deleting at least a portion of the selected information).

As per claim 8, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the search interface is further operable to allow a user to view the generic document" (See Keller: Figs. 12-13 and 15-16 wherein Keller's user authorization forms and generic merchandize description forms are standard documents is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the search interface is further operable to allow a user to view the generic document).

As per claims 17 and 25, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "comprising denying access to the transaction documents when a user does not possess a required permission level" (See Keller: Figs. 12-13 and 15-16 wherein Keller's user authorization forms are utilized to authorize user to login the

system is equivalent to the Applicant's comprising denying access to the transaction documents when a user does not possess a required permission level).

As per claim 9, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches "comprising a security module associated with the global content directory, the security module operable to encrypt the transaction documents to control user access to the documents" (See Keller: Page 2, [0015] wherein Keller's manufacturer downloads transaction documents, partitions the file and protects the file by security techniques so that the protected sections will not be disclosed to other unauthorized manufacturers is equivalent to Applicant's comprising a security module associated with the global content directory, the security module operable to encrypt the transaction documents to control user access to the documents).

As per claims 19 and 27, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches the following:

"selecting a transaction document from the transaction documents located using the search interface" (See Hess: Fig. 5 and col. 6, lines 31-42 wherein Hess' harvesting process periodically reloads images and updates thumbnails is equivalent to the Applicant's selecting a transaction document from the transaction documents located using the search interface);

"viewing the generic document created from the transaction document" (See Hess: Fig. 9A-9B and col. 9, line 49 – col. 10, line 6 wherein Hess' user navigates the transaction

document by clicking through mode, top category, current actions and merchandize is equivalent to the Applicant's viewing the generic document created from the transaction document); and

"completing the generic document with a plurality of user specific information" (See Hess: Fig. 5 and col. 6, lines 31-42 wherein Hess' harvesting process periodically reloads images and updates thumbnails is equivalent to the Applicant's completing the generic document with a plurality of user specific information).

**8.** Claims 5, 7, 16, 18, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hess et al. (U.S. Patent 6,732,161, hereafter "Hess") in view of and further in view of Smithies et al. (U.S. Patent 6,091,835, hereafter "Smithies"), as applied to claims 30, 35, 42 and 28, and further in view of Smithies et al. (U.S. Patent 6,091,835, hereafter "Smithies").

As per claims 5, 16 and 24, the combined teaching of Keller and Hess references further teaches the following:

"segments the selected transaction document into one or more sections" (See Hess: Figs. 6A-6B, 9A-9B and col. 6, line 55 – col. 7, line 40 wherein Hess' item registration form is a transaction document where registration form includes segments for user authorization, item description, trading method and user's source file for merchandize is equivalent to the Applicant's segments the selected transaction document into one or more sections); and

"determines which sections of the selected transaction document are generic and which sections are specific to the past transaction" (See Hess: Figs. 6A-6B, 9A-9B and col. 6, line 55 – col. 7, line 40 wherein Hess' item registration form is a transaction document where trading method is generic and a completed item is specific to past transactions).

The combined teaching of Keller and Hess references does not explicitly teach "removes from the selected transaction document information in the sections specific to the past transaction to create the generic document capable of being used to facilitate the future transaction".

However, Smithies teaches pressing a button to remove a Selected List from a presentation box for updating the authentication method selection document.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine Smithies' teaching with Keller and Hess references because the references are devoted to e-commerce and transaction document processing where Hess provides a generic system while Smithies teaches transcribing electronic affirmations, and the combined reference would have further enhanced Hess system's functionality by the implementation of flexibly updating e-commerce trading transaction document.

The combined teaching of Smithies, Keller and Hess references further teaches "carries forward the generic sections from the selected transaction document into the generic document to protect one or more confidential details in the selected transaction document" (See Keller: Page 2, [0015] wherein Keller's manufacturer downloads transaction documents, partitions the file and protects the file by security techniques so

that the protected sections will not be disclosed to other unauthorized manufacturers is equivalent to Applicant's carries forward the generic sections from the selected transaction document into the generic document to protect one or more confidential details in the selected transaction document).

As per claims 7, 18 and 26, the combined teaching of Smithies, Keller and Hess references further teaches "wherein the intelligence module is further operable to dynamically adjust the information in the sections in the generic document to include current information" (See Hess: Fig. 5 and col. 6, lines 31-42 wherein Hess' harvesting process periodically reloads images and updates thumbnails is equivalent to the Applicant's wherein the intelligence module is further operable to dynamically adjust the information in the sections in the generic document to include current information).

#### **9.** The prior art made of record

- A. U.S. Publication 2003/0050958
- D. U.S. Patent 6,091,835
- E. U.S. Patent 6,732,161

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- B. U.S. Patent 6,442,574
- C. U.S. Publication 2001/0049675
- F. U.S. Patent 6,523,037

Application/Control Number: 10/001,506

Art Unit: 2167

- G. U.S. Publication 2005/0033648
- H. U.S. Publication 2002/0188551
- I. U.S. Publication 2004/0138966
- U. Oracle® e-Commerce Gateway, User's Guide, Release 11i.2, August 2000

### Response to Arguments

**10.** The Applicant's arguments filed on July 28, 2005 have been fully considered, but they are most on new ground of rejection.

#### Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuen S. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-4114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jean R. Homere, Esq. can be reached on (571) 272-3780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuen S. Lu

Patent Examiner

October 16, 2005

Jean R. Homere, Esq.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

October 16, 2005

JOHN BREENE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100